PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	P	CT				
To: CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV Attn. Van Der Donk, H. M. P.O. Box 10000 NL-1970 CA IJmuiden NETHERLANDS	THE INTERNATIONAL THE WRITTEN OPINION	F TRANSMITTAL OF L SEARCH REPORT AND N OF THE INTERNATIONAL TY, OR THE DECLARATION				
	(PC	T Rule 44.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02./0	8/2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR EURTHER ACTION	Con any seems a good 4 holes.				
CO 0178 PCT/Bo/K	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	4 /2004				
PCT/EP2004/004250	16/0	4/2004				
Applicant		•				
CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewi	report and the written opinion of the thickness of the thickness of the transfer of the transf	ne International Searching				
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim When? The time limit for filing such amendments is non International Search Report; however, for more	mally 2 months from the date of tra	insmittal of the				
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fa For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acco	ascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35					
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search		the declaration under				
Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the Ir 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	nternational Searching Authority ar	e transmitted herewith.				
the protest together with the decision thereon has bee applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	n transmitted to the International B test and the decision thereon to the	ureau together with the edesignated Offices.				
4. Reminders						
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International B before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	publication, a notice of withdrawal ureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> 1	of the international				
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price	f such comments to all designated established. These comments wou	Offices unless an				
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.						
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	s (or later) will apply even if no de	mand is filed within 19				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the app Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	licable time limits, Office by Office	, see the <i>PCT Applicant's</i>				
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Carlos Novoa					

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international pollication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed:
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CO 0178 PCT/Bo/K	FOR FURTHER ACTION as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/004250	16/04/2004	16/04/2003
Applicant		
CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV		
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tr	en prepared by this International Searching Aut ansmitted to the International Bureau.	hority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	s of a total of sheets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.
language in which it was filed, un	international search was carried out on the ba less otherwise indicated under this item.	
this Authority (Ru	ile 23.1(b)).	lation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fou	ind unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	sking (see Box III).	·
4. With regard to the title ,		
the text is approved as su	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follows:	
PREFORM FOR FOAMED SHI	EET PRODUCT AND FOAMED PRODU	JCT MANUFACTURED THEREFROM
		•
-		
5. With regard to the abstract,		
X the text is approved as su	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis may, within one month from	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori om the date of mailing of this international sear	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6 With regards to the drawings		
With regards to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be recommendated.	oublished with the abstract is Figure No1_	
X as suggested by		
	is Authority, because the applicant failed to sug	ggest a figure.
as selected by thi	is Authority, because this figure better characte	erizes the invention.
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

See form PCT/ISA/220 See form PCT/ISA/220 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1)	From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	ORITY					
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/SAZ10 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/SAZ20 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B22F7/00, B32B15/01 Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. III Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Location documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SAZ20.	To:				PCT			
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (daymonthyear) see form PCT/SAZ10 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/SAZ20 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B22F7/00, B32B15/01 Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack so funity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 65. bis(b) that written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SAZ20.				-				
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (daymonthyear) see form PCT/SAZ10 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/SAZ20 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B22F7/00, B32B15/01 Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack so funity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 65. bis(b) that written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/SAZ20.			·	WRI	TEN OPINION OF THE			
Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/SA/210 (second sheet)		see form PCT/ISA/220						
Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No.				((PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No.				Date of mailing				
See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.04.2003				· -	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250 International filing date (day/monthlyear) 16.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B22F7/00, B32B15/01 Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Appl	icant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION			
PCT/EP2004/004250	see	form PCT/ISA/220		See paragraph 2 be	low			
Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:		* *	 	day/month/year)	I '			
Applicant CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Paraming Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.	Inter	national Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	l .	•			•			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Appl	icant			·			
 ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 	co	RUS TECHNOLOGY BV						
 ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 								
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	1.	This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:				
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Priority If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		⊠ Box No. I Basis of the or	pinion					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			,					
□ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				, ,				
□ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		☐ Box No. V Reasoned stat	ement under Rule 43bis	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such st	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			·					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	olication				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		_			0			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	,	· ·						
written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	2.		•					
submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority							
		submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		For further options, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.					
	3.	For further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		·			
					•			
				·				
Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer	Nam	e and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer				

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Lilimpakis, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2952



JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 OCT 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250

10/552741

_		
_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in iguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reneces:	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250

В	ox No. II	Priority			
1. 🖾	The fo	llowing document has	s not bee	en furnished	d:
	⊠	copy of the earlier a	pplicatio	n whose pi	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the ea	rlier app	lication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
					der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	has be		es 43 <i>bis</i>	.1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
3. Ac	dditional d	bservations, if neces	sary:		
					•
	ox No. V dustrial				Sbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1. St	atement				
No	ovelty (N)	•	Yes:	Claims	•
	· · · · ·	•	No:	Claims	1,4,8,9,12,14
ln	ventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
			No:	Claims	3,5,15,16,19
Inc	dustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-19
			No:	Claims	
					•
2. Ci	tations ar	nd explanations			

see separate sheet

structure is given in the present application.

Claim 3 is an obvious combination of the principle of claim 1, see also D1, fig.1.

The sole difference between the state of the art and **claim 4** appears to be the composition of the sheet alloy, given that D1 does not explicitly refers to an Al-Si alloy. However, the use of 4xxx alloys in preforms and in foaming structures is in general known and usual.

Claim 5 appears to contain a usual feature, i.e. a trivial selection of 4xxx Al-alloy for foaming structures, the merits or effects of the combination of the specific alloy were not set out in the application.

As far as the dependent **claims 8 and 9** is concerned their features are directly deduced from D1.

The same is also valid for **claim 10** (see D1, col.3, l.63-68), the lowering of the melting point is achieved by the addition of Zn in D1.

The same objection is raised for **claims 12 and 19**, if one considers the rolled structure of the preform per se (D1, fig.1), i.e. before the same is put into the empty space. This means that the <u>rolled</u> preform of D1 in a cut-out view consists of successive layers of the Al sheet (the two external Al layers in the role of claimed "external layers - skin plates", since cl. 12 provides also this possibility) interposed with the foaming agent.

The foamed product according to **claim 14** cannot be distinguished from any other foamed structure produced differently (see for example the classic "Frauenhoffer - process") or the final product of D1. This claim 14 cannot be allowed in the present form for reasons of novelty in view of the common marketed foamed products or foamed laminates.

Claim 15 differs from the known technique applied in for example D1, in that, a "controlled load <u>preferably</u> in form of rolling" is applied on the preform. This feature does not appear however, to contribute to an inventive activity, since it is well known that the preforms to be foamed are rolled under pressure for better cohesion.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004250

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: DE 198 48 632 A (M I M HUETTENWERKE DUISBURG GM) 30 March 2000 (2000-03-30)
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Claim 1 relates to a sandwich or laminate structure, in the pre-foaming condition, where the components suitable for foaming (preferably Al-Si alloy, according to subclaim 4, and a foaming agent) are not found in the known mixed powder form, but the alloy is in form of a sheet and the agent is deposited on said sheet.
- 2.2 D1 deals with a preform to be put within a space defined by two metallic sheets ("Hohlraum") of a structure suitable for vehicle parts, said preform is suitable for foaming (see col.1, l.39-60). The particular construction of said preform consists of a metallic sheet with preference to AI (col.3, l.41-47) upon which a foaming agent preferably TiH₂ (col.4, l.10-16) is set.
 The preform put within the space of the metal layers ("Hohlraum") at the time before foaming is therefore a same construction as this actually claimed in cl. 1.

D1 solves the same problem as the present invention, namely, avoiding the use of powder constituents for the foam metal.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 19
Dependent claims 2, 3, 4, 8-10, 12, 14, 15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Claim 2 does not enjoy the priority right in that, the <u>core</u> is composed of at least a "foamable metal layer", which layer(s) are coated (at least one side) with a "foamable metal sheet" (i.e. according to cl.1 "foamable metal sheet" is a foamable metal and the agent). This combination of features were not in the original application and moreover, no information or features or effects of this new

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP2004/004250

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 B22F7/00 B32B B32B15/01 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 B22F B32B Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° Relevant to claim No. X DE 198 48 632 A (M I M HUETTENWERKE 1,3,4, DUISBURG GM) 30 March 2000 (2000-03-30) 8-10,1214-16,19 column 1, line 39 - line 60 column 3, line 41 - line 51 column 4, line 34 - line 41; claims 1,11,12,16; figures 1,2 Α DE 196 51 197 A (DIETZSCHOLD DIRK DR ING 1,7,14, :DIETZSCHOLD SUSAN (DE)) 15.19 19 June 1997 (1997-06-19) column 1, lines 40-47 - lines 62-67 column 3, line 18 - column 4, line 14; claims 14,19; figures 4,5,7 DE 44 26 627 A (FRAUNHOFER GES FORSCHUNG) 1,15 2 February 1995 (1995-02-02) claims 1-9 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the considered to be of particular relevance invention *E* earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 21 July 2004 02/08/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Lilimpakis, E

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/004250

C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
A	DE 100 24 004 A (FRAUNHOFER GES FORSCHUNG) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) column 3, line 25 - line 63; figures 2,3		1,15	
		·		
			•	
·				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/004250

	nt document search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 1	9848632	Α	30-03-2000	DE	19848632 A1	30-03-2000
				AT .	216963 T	15-05-2002
•	-			ΑU	4604999 A	30-12-1999
				DE	59901354 D1	.06=06-2002
				WO	9964287 A1	ৣ-Î6−12−1999
				E·P	1086012 A1	28-03-2001 01-11-2002 16-12-1999
	•			ES	2174617 T3	01-11-2002
				. DE	19925457 . A1	16-12-1999
DE 1	9651197	Α	19-06-1997	DE	19651197 A1	19-06-1997
DE 4	426627	Α	02-02-1995	DE	4426627 A1	02-02-1995
DE 1	0024004	Α.	23-11-2000	DE	10024Ò04 A1	23-11-2000
	•			ΑT	228907 T	15-12-2002
				ΑU	5389600 A	12-12-2000
			x	CA	2374487 A1	30-11-2000
				WO	0071285 A1	30-11-2000
				DE	50000892 D1	16-01-2003
				EP	1196259 A1	17-04-2002
			•	US	6676896 B1	13-01-2004